Substance-P Prevents Cardiac Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury by Modulating Stem Cell Mobilization and Causing Early Suppression of Injury-Mediated Inflammation
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Abstract

Background/Aims: Therapies using stem/progenitor cells have been experimentally and clinically investigated to regenerate damaged hearts. Substance-P (SP) induces bone marrow (BM) stem cell mobilization and suppresses inflammation in ischemic injuries. This study investigated the role of SP in BM stem cell mobilization and immune responses for tissue repair after ischemic-reperfusion injury (IRI), in comparison with that of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF). Methods: SP was intravenously injected into IRI rats and its affect was evaluated by determining colony forming efficiency, immune cell/ cytokine profiles, histological changes, and heart function through echocardiography. Results: In the rat cardiac IRI model, SP suppressed IRI-mediated tumor necrosis factor-\alpha induction, but increased the levels of interleukin-10, CD206+ monocytes, and regulatory T cells in the blood; reduced myocardial apoptosis at day 1 post-IRI; and markedly stimulated colony forming unit (CFU)-e and (CFU)-f cell mobilization. Efficacy of SP in the recovery of cardiac function after IRI was demonstrated by increased cardiac contractility, accompanied by reduced infarction sizes and fibrosis, and increased revascularization of vessels covered with alpha smooth muscle actin. These effects of SP were confirmed in an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) model. All effects mediated by SP were superior to those mediated by GCSF. Conclusion: Systemic injection of...
SP decreased early inflammatory responses and promoted stem cell mobilization, leading to a compact vasculature and improved cardiac function in cardiac IRI and AMI.

Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is the irreversible loss of cardiac function as a consequence of prolonged ischemia with excessive inflammation and is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality. Recently, diverse cellular therapies using stem/progenitor cells have been experimentally and clinically investigated to regenerate the damaged heart [1-3]. Studies on the trafficking of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) in tissue repair under AMI and hind limb ischemia found that growth factors, such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and stromal derived factor-1 (SDF-1), are the potential regulators required for trafficking of haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and EPCs from the bone marrow (BM) reservoir [4-11]. Importantly, neo-vascularization requires pericytes as well as endothelial cells. Pericytes are important regulators of angiogenesis and vascular stability [12-14], secreting pro-angiogenic factors at the onset of angiogenesis. EPCs and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are reported to be the reparative stem/progenitor cells for endothelial cells and pericytes, respectively [15-16]. Therefore, it could be inferred that coexistence of EPCs and MSCs contribute to the formation of pericyte-covered vasculature under ischemic conditions. Unfortunately, factors that facilitate EPC and MSC mobilization have not been identified, and there are few transplantation studies on both EPC and MSCs. GCSF, the representative factor to mobilize EPCs from the BM into the circulation, is used clinically in ischemic diseases but was found to minimally affect MSC mobilization [6, 17].

Substance-P (SP), a highly conserved, 11-amino acid neuropeptide, which is expressed in non-myelinated sensory neurons and in various non-neural cells [18], induces mobilization of bone marrow BMSCs [19], and suppresses inflammation in diverse diseases, including spinal cord injury, diabetic ulcers, and rheumatoid arthritis [20-24]. Considering the coordinated interplay that occurs among multiple wound-healing phases, the early resolution of injury-induced inflammation and the removal of dead cells might be essential steps to provide a more receptive microenvironment for the survival and engraftment of the incoming reparative stem cells during tissue repair. Thus, if SP has the ability to suppress injury-induced inflammation in the early phases of tissue injury, as well as its stem cell-mobilizing capacity, it might facilitate tissue repair more effectively than other stem cell mobilizers that retain their pro-inflammatory function, such as GCSF and VEGF [25-28].

In this study, we examined whether SP could mobilize both EPCs and BMSCs from the BM to the peripheral blood (PB) by intravenous injection of SP under normal physiological conditions. To evaluate the therapeutic function of SP in disease conditions, we injected SP into a rat model of cardiac ischemic-reperfusion injury (IRI) and assessed how much endogenous SP is induced under IRI and whether it is sufficient to mobilize reparative stem cells to achieve tissue repair. Moreover, SP-mediated suppression of early inflammation was determined by checking cytokine profiles and the immune cell pool in the circulation. Additionally, the role of SP was also explored in an AMI model.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

MSCGM and EGM media (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland), phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Welgene, Daegu, Korea), and SP (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were obtained from the indicated suppliers. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for SP, TNF-alpha (TNF-α), and interleukin-10 (IL-10) were from R&D systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA).
Rat IRI and AMI model

Male Sprague–Dawley rats, 8–9-week-old, weighing 250–280 g, were obtained from Orient Bio Inc. (Seongnam, Korea) and were used after a one-week acclimatization period under standard laboratory conditions. To prepare the IRI model, the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery of the rat was ligated for 40 min. Immediately after reperfusion, SP (6.7 µg/[kg•0.1 ml]) or G-CSF (10 µg/[kg•0.1 ml]) was intravenously (i.v.) injected. The treated dose of SP was determined after the effect of diverse concentrations of SP on stem cell mobilization and immune suppression was evaluated (Table 1). The rats were sacrificed at 10 min, 1 h, and 4.5 h post injection of SP for fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and ELISA analysis; at day 1 for apoptosis experiments; at days 3, 5, 7 for CFU-e and CFU-f analysis and complete blood cell counting (CBC) analysis.

For AMI induction, the LAD artery was permanently ligated. SP or G-CSF was i.v. injected twice a week for 2 weeks. Saline was used as vehicle. Control animals consisted of infarcted and sham-operated rats injected with saline at 1 and 8 weeks for histological analysis, TTC staining, and MTC staining.

All animal studies were approved by the Ethical Committees for Experimental Animals at Kyung Hee University (KHMC-IACUC-14-010). The methods of animal experiments were carried out in accordance with guideline and regulation approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

MTC staining

To observe collagen deposition in the wound region, MTC Staining (IHC World, Woodstock, MD, USA) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, paraffin-sectioned samples (4 µm thick) were deparaffinized, hydrated, and fixed in Bouin’s solution (Sigma–Aldrich) for 1 h at 56 °C. After washing in distilled water, the samples were stained with Weigert’s hematoxylin for 10 min, Biebrich scarlet-acid fuchsin for 2 min, phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid solution for 10 min, and aniline blue for 10 min. After washing with distilled water, the samples were treated with 1% acetic acid (Sigma–Aldrich) for 5 min, and then dehydrated, mounted, and observed using a Nuance Multiplex Biomarker Imaging System (Cambridge Research Instrumentation, Woburn, MA, USA).

Immunohistochemical staining

Immunohistochemical staining was performed using the VECTASTAIN ABC Kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were treated with 0.5% H2O2 to block endogenous hydrogen peroxidase activity for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.3% Triton- X100. Nonspecific binding sites were blocked by incubating the samples with 1% normal horse serum for 1 h at room temperature (RT). Primary antibodies against mouse CD31 (ab64543, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), SP (ab14184, Abcam), α-SMA (ab5694, Abcam), or cleaved caspase 3 (9661, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) were added. After washing in PBS three times, the samples were incubated with a biotin-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at RT. After washing in PBS, substrate solution was added and maintained at RT for 40 min. To visualize the reactive areas in the tissues, samples were treated with dimethyl-aminobenzene (DAB; Vector Laboratories), counterstained with Fast Red (Vector Laboratories) for 10 min, and mounted. Finally, samples were observed under a Nuance Multiplex Biomarker Imaging System. All quantitative analyses were performed using six adjacent fields (1.5 mm2) on temporal slides.

Table 1. Dose effect of SP on stem cell mobilization and cytokine secretion. SP was injected to rat in dose of 0.05, 0.5, 5, and 50 nmole/kg, respectively. At 4.5 h and 24 h post SP, peripheral blood was collected and then, cytokine secretion was examined by ELISA and stem cell mobilization was detected by CFU-f and CFU-e
ELISA
Cytokine profiles in tissue, serum, and bone marrow aspirates were analyzed by ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), with all reagents, standard dilutions, and samples prepared as directed in the manufacturer’s instructions. Subsequently, 100 µL and 50 µL of calibrator diluent were added to the non-specific binding and zero standard (B0) wells, respectively. In the remaining wells 50 µL of the standard, control, or sample were added. Next, 50 µL of primary antibody solution was added to each well, followed by 100 µL of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody; the plates were incubated for 2 h. The samples were then incubated with 100 µL of substrate solution. Once the color of the solution changed to blue, the reaction was stopped, and the optical density was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm using an EMax Endpoint ELISA Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

FACS analysis
Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from 8 ml of peripheral blood (PB) using a ficoll gradient (GE healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). For analysis of regulatory T cells (T\textsubscript{reg}s) in PBMCs, the FoxP3 Intracellular Staining Kit (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) was used; 2 x 10\textsuperscript{7} PBMCs were fixed and then incubated with PE-conjugated anti-FoxP3 antibody and APC-conjugated CD4 antibody (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) for 30 min at 4 °C in the dark. To analyze the M2-skewed monocyte phenotype in PBMCs, 1 x 10\textsuperscript{7} PBMCs were incubated with an APC-conjugated anti-CD11b antibody (BioLegend) and then with a FITC-conjugated anti-CD206 antibody (Abcam) for 30 min at 4 °C, in the dark. After washing twice with FACS buffer, the proportion of T\textsubscript{reg}s and M2-phenotype monocytes in PBMCs was analyzed using a FACS Calibur flow cytometer and the CELLQuest software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

Measurement of left ventricular (LV) function
Transthoracic echocardiography (Sonos 5500, Philips, Bothell, WA, USA) was performed to evaluate LV function, as described previously [29]. Under anesthesia, the chest was shaved, and 2-dimensional, M-mode images were taken at 1 week after IRI and 2, 4 and 8 weeks after AMI, using a 15-MHz linear probe. M-mode images of the LV were obtained from the parasternal short-axis view at the level of the papillary muscles and from the parasternal long axis view. Parameters were averaged from three cardiac cycles. The LV ejection fraction (%EF) and fractional shortening (%FS) were automatically calculated by the echocardiographic system.

Statistical analysis
All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Student’s t-test (for comparisons between two groups) and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; for comparisons of three or more groups, followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test) were performed. Probability values less than 0.05 were interpreted to indicate statistically significant differences (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).

Results
IRI-induced endogenous SP expression minimally stimulated EPC and BMSC mobilization, which could be substantially boosted by SP injection after IRI
Recently, SP was found to stimulate mobilization of reparative stem cells into the blood circulation, leading to tissue repair. The cell mobilizing capacity of SP prompted us to explore its role in cardiac protection and recovery from AMI. First, we examined the capacity of SP to mobilize neurokinin receptor 1 (NK1R+) EPCs and BMSCs in non-injured normal rats by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis on day 3 post-SP injection (Fig. 1A and B). SP injection elevated NK-1R+ cells in the PB, and this population included CD29+, CD90+, and CD31+ cells. NK-1R+ cells from the PB were cultured in endothelial cell growth medium (EGM) or mesenchymal stem cell growth medium (MSCGM), respectively, and colony forming efficiency was evaluated (Fig. 1C-E). SP increased the colony-forming stem cell population in the circulation, compared with that observed in the saline-treated group.
After confirmation of stem cell mobilization by SP in non-injured normal rats, we evaluated SP in a cardiac injury model. The IRI model and experimental design are represented in Fig. 2A. We determined how much endogenous SP was induced by IRI in the injured cardiac tissue, blood, and BM (Fig. 2B and C). IRI itself clearly induced endogenous SP expression in infarcted areas and in the serum, but no SP reactivity was detected in the sham-operated group or in the contralateral side of the IRI groups (Fig. 2B). One hour after IRI, the SP level in the blood increased approximately 4-fold (Fig. 2C) compared with that in the sham group, but no significant change in SP levels in the bone marrow (BM) was observed. This IRI-induced SP increase in the blood was maintained for up to 1 day and then declined from day 3 post-IRI (Fig. 2D). Thus, IRI itself can induce an increase in endogenous SP production at the injury site of cardiac tissue as early as 10 min and in the PB at 1 h, which

Fig. 1. Mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) by substance P (SP) under normal conditions. SP-induced EPCs and BMSCs mobilization in normal rats. SP was intravenously injected into rats, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 10 ml blood on day 3 were harvested for fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis (A-B) and colony forming unit (CFU)-e and CFU-f assay (C–E). Results are shown as the mean ± SD. Statistical significance was considered when *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001 compared to saline group.
can last up to 1-day post-IRI. However, even a bolus injection of SP (6.7 µg/[kg, 0.1 mL]) or GCSF (10 µg/[kg, 0.1 mL]) immediately after IRI did not affect the SP level at the steady state in the serum or BM of the animals (Fig. 2C). This might reflect the pharmacokinetics of SP, considering that a bolus SP injection decayed very rapidly, with a $t_{1/2}$ of 0.69±0.43 min (Fig. 2E). Although it is rapidly cleared from the blood, i.v.-injected biotin-SP could be detected on CD4+ and CD11b+ peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) 10 min after the injection (Fig. 2F and G) and on CD29+ cell clusters 2 h after BM harvest [19].

Fig. 2. Detection of endogenous substance P (SP) elevation and mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) during ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI). (A) Schematic model of IRI in SD rats and experimental the schedule. SP or granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) was intravenously injected immediately after reperfusion. (B) Immunohistochemistry of SP in the paraffin-sectioned cardiac tissue of the infarcted zone and the contralateral side. EP: epicardium, M: myocardium. Scale bar: 100 µm. (C, D) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) of SP in the blood and bone marrow (BM) at 10 min and 60 min post- saline, SP, or GCSF injection after IRI, and in the blood on days 1–5 post-IRI. (E) Analysis for pharmacokinetic parameters of SP in the blood. (F, G) At 10 min after Biotin-SP injection in the IRI model, PBMCs were isolated. Biotin-SP bound cells were detected by FITC-streptavidin, CD4+ T cells were determined by APC-conjugated CD4 antibody, CD11b monocytes were determined by APC-conjugated anti-CD11b antibody, and followed by FACS analysis. (H, I) Comparison of CFU-f and CFU-e cell-mobilization kinetics in the sham-operated (n = 6), IRI + saline (n = 6), IRI + SP (n = 6), and IRI + GCSF (n = 6)-injected groups. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
to examine whether the immediate IRI-induced SP rise in the PB can stimulate stem cell mobilization substantially, the mobilization of both EPCs and BMSCs after IRI, IRI + SP injection, or IRI + GCSF injection was measured sequentially up to day 7 post-IRI (Fig. 2H and I). Although IRI-induced SP elevation in the serum was clearly noticed, IRI itself only marginally increased the number of CFU-e and CFU-f cells in the PB, which could be markedly boosted by SP injection, starting on day 3 and peaking on day 5 for the CFU-e cells and day 7 for the CFU-f cells. Thus, the IRI-induced SP increase did not seem to initiate substantial mobilization of EPCs and BMSCs for tissue repair; however, with SP injection just after the IRI, this requirement for tissue repair could be met. In contrast, GCSF injection after IRI only slightly enhanced CFU-e cell mobilization but did not mobilize CFU-f cells at all. Accordingly, SP injection after IRI clearly accelerated reparative stem cell mobilization at a much higher rate than that observed with IRI-induced endogenous response and GCSF injection.

**SP reduced myocardial cell death, accompanied by inhibiting inflammatory responses**

Regarding IRI-induced systemic inflammatory responses, IRI itself increased the neutrophil ratio in total leukocytes up to day 5 (Fig. 3A) and increased TNF-α in the serum on day 1 post-IRI (Fig. 3B), corresponding to an injury-mediated inflammatory response, which regressed from day 3 post-IRI. This systemic IRI-mediated increase in TNF-α

![Figure 3](image-url)
Fig. 4. Regulation of ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI)-induced myocardial apoptosis by substance P (SP) injection. Comparison of apoptosis within the infarct zone 1 day post-IRI with SP injection versus GCSF injection by immunohistochemical staining. (A, B) The blue arrow indicates cleaved caspase 3 (+) apoptotic cells and the red arrow indicates normal cells. Sham-operated (n = 4), IRI + saline (n = 6), IRI + SP (n = 6), IRI + GCSF (n = 6). Scale bar: 100 µm. (C, D) SP was intravenously injected to rats 1 day post IR and apoptotic cardiac myocytes were determined with TUNEL (FITC), sarcomeric α-actinin (TRITC) and DAPI staining. TUNEL-positive myocyte nuclei were observed by confocal microscopy LSM700 (Carl Zeiss, Germany) and analyzed from five different high-power fields (X 400). White arrows indicate TUNEL- and sarcomeric α-actinin-positive nuclei. (E, F) Immunohistochemical staining for CD45 and iNOS in cardiac tissue post IR. SP was intravenously injected into rats post IR and inflammatory cells in cardiac tissue was determined by staining for CD45 (leukocyte) and iNOS (activated macrophage). Scale bar: 50 µm. Black arrow: iNOS+ cell. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
production was abrogated by SP injection but was further extended up to day 3 by GCSF injection. Clearly, GCSF increased the inflammation burden after IRI.

To determine the effects of SP on blood cells and systemic inflammatory responses during the early stages of IRI and cell death of cardiac tissue (which probably occurs before the effects of SP on stem cell mobilization), cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-10, circulatory M2 monocytes, and Tregs in the PB were analyzed at 4.5 h post-IRI (Fig. 3C–F). At 4.5 h post-injection, SP blunted the IRI-mediated TNF-α induction (Fig. 3C) but increased the levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in the blood (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, SP markedly increased the numbers of CD11b+/CD206+ cells (Fig. 3E) and CD4+/Foxp3+ cells (Fig. 3F) in the blood. Cleaved-caspase 3 in the injured cardiac tissue was measured on day 1 post-IRI using immunohistochemical staining (Fig. 4). At day 1 after IRI, fewer apoptotic myocytes (positive for cleaved caspase-3 and TUNEL-positive; Fig. 4A-D) and fewer infiltrated leukocytes were detected in the SP-injected group compared with those in the saline- or GCSF-injected groups. Notably, more iNOS+ M1 macrophages of infiltrated leukocytes were present in saline-injected group than in SP-injected group (Fig. 4E and F).

Accordingly, the early mitigation of IRI-induced inflammatory responses mediated by SP might substantially reduce the apoptosis of cardiac tissue in the early phase.

SP improved the recovery of cardiac function after IRI by reducing the infarction size and fibrosis, and by increasing revascularization with α-SMA-covered vessels; these effects were superior to those of GCSF.

To investigate whether early treatment with SP or GCSF after IRI could affect the recovery of cardiac function, echocardiograms were performed at 1-week post-IRI (Fig. 5A–E and Table 2). The injection of SP after IRI resulted in higher left ventricular fractional shortening (FS) and left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) than that observed in the saline or GCSF-injected groups (EF: 59.3±4.79% [saline], 76.56±1.18% [SP], 71.11±1.52% [GCSF]; FS: 28±3.09% [saline], 40.7±1.27% [SP], 37.24±1.09% [GCSF]).

The SP-mediated improvement in cardiac function was further analyzed by triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) staining (Fig. 6A and B) and Masson trichrome (MTC) staining (Fig. 6C and D) at 1-week post-IRI. SP treatment markedly reduced IRI-mediated infarction size (73.4±11.6% [saline], 31±14.2% [SP], 57.7±8.3% [GCSF]) and IRI-mediated cardiac fibrosis (14.9±4.2% [saline], 2.7±1.0% [SP], 7.2%±2.4% [GCSF]).

Fig. 5. Substance P (SP)-mediated improvements after ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) versus those observed after granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) injection, based on echocardiographic analysis. SP or GCSF was injected twice on days 0 and 1 post-IRI and echocardiograms were taken 1-week post-IRI. (A) Representative echocardiogram images for each group. (B) Left ventricle (LV) systolic dimension, (C) LV diastolic dimension, (D) %FS (fractional shortening), and (E) %EF (ejection fraction). Sham-operated (n = 10), IRI + saline (n = 12), IRI + SP (n = 12), IRI + GCSF (n = 12). The data are shown as the mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
To estimate the vascular integrity in the repaired tissue, vascular density and structural integrity were measured in the peri-infarct zone, infarct zone, and non-infarct contralateral zone using immunohistochemical staining against CD31 for endothelial cells and α-SMA for pericytes (Fig. 6E–G). The CD31+ vessel density was highest in the saline-injected IRI group, but approximately 20% of the vessels were covered by α-SMA+ pericytes at the peri-infarct zone and infarct zone. However, in the SP-injected IRI group, the vessel density was lower than that in the saline-injected IRI group, but more than 50% of the vessels at the peri-infarct zone and infarct zone were covered by α-SMA+ cells. In the GCSF-injected IRI group, approximately 20% of the vessels at the infarct zone were covered by α-SMA+ pericytes, which was similar to that observed in the saline-injected group, but approximately 40% of the vessels at the peri-infarct zone were covered by α-SMA+ pericytes. The pericyte-covered vascular structure was confirmed by PDGFR + vessel counting in infarct zone and peri-infarct zone (Fig. 6H and I).

Taken together, our findings indicate that SP might work more effectively in vascular regeneration by mobilizing both EPCs and BMSCs, and by inducing their recruitment towards the healing tissue.

**Repetitive SP treatments after permanent ligation of the left anterior descending (LAD) artery improved cardiac function**

We further explored the efficacy of SP treatment on the recovery of cardiac function after permanent ligation in the LAD artery model, which requires more extensive tissue repair and neovascularization than the IRI model (Fig. 7). After permanent LAD ligation, SP or GCSF was injected twice a week for 2 weeks, and echocardiograms were performed at 4 and 8 weeks (Fig. 7A–G and Table 3). SP treatment also markedly improved the recovery of cardiac function in the permanent ligation model at 4 weeks (EF: 73.6±0.6% [sham], 56.7±2.9% [saline], 66.2±1.3% [SP], 61.2±1.4% [GCSF]) and at 8 weeks (74.7±0.4% [sham], 52.8±1.4% [saline], 72±1.9% [SP], 62.8±2.5% [GCSF]). A clear difference was also observed in the %FS between the groups at 4 weeks (37.9±0.4% [sham], 25±1.3% [saline], 33.2±0.9% [SP], 30.9±1.3% [GCSF]) and at 8 weeks (39.1±0.5% [sham], 24.0±0.8% [saline], 36.8±1.5% [SP], 30.1±1.7% [GCSF]).

Histological analysis further confirmed the recovery of cardiac function by SP (Fig. 8A–F). SP decreased the infarction area, as revealed by TTC staining (71.7±15.5% [saline], 33.9±8.6% [SP], 52±10.8% [GCSF]; Fig. 8A) and also decreased the fibrosis area, as observed by MTC staining (24.1±3.1% [saline], 9.8±1.8% [SP], 16.4±2.5% [GCSF]; Fig. 8B and C). Both GCSF and SP resulted in higher vessel numbers in the infarct core than those in the sham-operated and saline groups; however, the group receiving SP treatment showed more vessels covered by α-SMA-expressing cells than in the other groups; however, the group receiving SP treatment showed more vessels covered by α-SMA-expressing cells than in the sham-operated and saline groups.

**Table 2. Echocardiographic analysis at 1 week post IR.** HR, heart rate; IVS, interventricular septal thickness in diastole; PW, posterior wall thickness in diastole; LV diastolic D, left ventricle diastolic dimension; LV systolic D, left ventricle systolic dimension; LV EDV, LV end diastolic volume; LV ESV, LV end systolic volume; EF, ejection fraction; FS, fractional shortening. Values represent mean ± SEM. *p<0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 (compared with Saline)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>Saline</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>GCSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 week post IR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>204±0.5</td>
<td>265±15.4</td>
<td>245.5±20.5</td>
<td>242.3±23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVS</td>
<td>1.78±0.08</td>
<td>1.49±0.24</td>
<td>1.65±0.14</td>
<td>1.65±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>1.81±0.25***</td>
<td>1.41±0.15</td>
<td>1.79±0.17*</td>
<td>1.73±0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV diastolic D</td>
<td>7.41±0.5**</td>
<td>9.49±0.54</td>
<td>7.31±0.11***</td>
<td>7.54±0.13***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV systolic D</td>
<td>4.01±0.3***</td>
<td>6.84±0.54</td>
<td>4.29±0.04***</td>
<td>4.83±0.15***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EDV</td>
<td>0.94±0.13*</td>
<td>1.79±0.27</td>
<td>0.91±0.04***</td>
<td>0.99±0.17***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ESV</td>
<td>0.18±0.01***</td>
<td>0.74±0.16</td>
<td>0.21±0.02***</td>
<td>0.26±0.07***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>78.23±2.16***</td>
<td>59.3±4.79</td>
<td>76.6±1.18***</td>
<td>71.1±1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>42.5±3.53**</td>
<td>28±3.1</td>
<td>40.7±1.27***</td>
<td>37.2±4.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GCSF-treated or saline groups (Fig. 8D–F). Thus, SP clearly decreased the ischemic area and fibrosis, and increased neo-vascularization with the vessels encircled by α-SMA-expressing pericytes, even after permanent LAD ligation.

**Fig. 6.** Substance P (SP)-mediated improvement by reducing the infarction size and fibrosis and by increasing revascularisation with α-SMA-covered vessels, compared with these parameters after granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) injection. (A) Representative images of the infarct zone after triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) staining. (B) Quantitative analysis of the TTC-positive area. (C, D) Masson trichrome (MTC) staining was performed to measure fibrosis. (E) Immunohistochemical staining for the pericyte marker, α-SMA, in the infarct and peri-infarct zone. Quantitative analysis of vessel numbers (F) and the ratio of α-SMA+ cell-encircled vessels to the total number of vessels (G). (H, I) Immunohistochemical staining for PDGFR-b in cardiac tissue post IR. PDGFR-b (+) vessels of total vessels was represented by the percentage. Sham-operated (n = 5), ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) + saline (n = 10), IRI + SP (n = 10), IRI + GCSF (n = 10). The data are shown as the mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
Discussion

During cardiac IRI, endogenous SP production was immediately induced at the injured site and subsequently in the blood. However, this injury-induced elevation in SP could only mildly stimulate EPC and BMSC mobilization, at levels that were not sufficient to facilitate tissue repair. By injecting SP immediately after IRI, SP suppressed IRI-mediated TNF-α induction; increased IL-10, M2-phenotype monocytes, and \( T_{reg} \)s in the PB; and reduced myocardial apoptosis on day 1 post-IRI, all of which preceded the substantial mobilization of reparative stem cells, which occurred 3–5 days after i.v. injection. Efficacy of SP for the recovery

![Fig. 7](image-url) Substance P (SP) injection markedly improved cardiac function under permanent ligation of the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery. The LAD coronary artery was permanently ligated. Sham, saline, SP, or granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) was intravenously injected twice a week for 2 weeks, and heart function was monitored at 4 and 8 weeks. (A) Scheme for myocardial infarction model. (B, C) Representative echocardiogram images. The left ventricle (LV) systolic dimension (D), LV diastolic dimension (E), %EF (ejection fraction) (F), and %FS (fractional shortening) (G) were measured. n = 6 per group. The data are shown as the mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
of cardiac function was demonstrated by increased left ventricle (LV) systolic function, reduced infarction sizes, reduced fibrosis, and increased revascularization with α-SMA-covered vessels; these effects mediated by SP were superior to those achieved with GCSF. Furthermore, in the permanent LAD ligation model, which requires more extensive tissue repair and neo-vascularization for an extended period, the beneficial effect of SP on cardiac function recovery was confirmed by repeated treatments for 2 weeks. These findings indicated that SP orchestrates tissue repair by suppressing injury-mediated inflammatory responses at an early stage, possibly leading to reduced apoptosis, and helped to prepare a better microenvironment for the survival and engraftment of the incoming SP-mobilized reparative stem cells. SP injection immediately after IRI stimulated cardiac function recovery, while reducing fibrosis and the proportion of ischemic tissue. In contrast, GCSF injection resulted in much less recovery of cardiac function.

Pericytes closely encircle endothelial cells in capillaries and microvessels, and regulate microvessel contractility and tightness; especially in the blood brain barrier and retina [29-32]. The importance of pericytes in the maintenance and preservation of healthy tight microvessels has been recognized in micro-aneurysms, which feature the loss of pericytes [33]. Thus, both pericytes and endothelial cells are equally important components for healthy tight vascular regeneration in situ. Based on our findings, the dual cell mobilization capacity of SP could be considered for use in the recovery of vascular integrity and vessel tightness in critical vascular disease including retinopathy and strokes in the future.

Table 3. Echocardiographic analysis post MI. HR, heart rate; IVS, interventricular septal thickness in diastole; PW, posterior wall thickness in diastole; LVMi, left ventricular mass index; LV diastolic D, left ventricle diastolic dimension; LV systolic D, left ventricle systolic dimension; LVEDV, LV end diastolic volume; LVESV, LV end systolic volume; EF, ejection fraction; FS, fractional shortening. Values represent mean ± SEM. *p<0.05, "p < 0.01, **p < 0.001 (compared with Saline).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>Saline</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>GCSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>249.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>289.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>281.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>253.5 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVS</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV diastolic D</td>
<td>7.57 ± 0.36</td>
<td>8.64 ± 0.19</td>
<td>7.98 ± 0.32</td>
<td>8.40 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV systolic D</td>
<td>4.70 ± 0.26**</td>
<td>6.49 ± 0.23</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.19**</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDV</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESV</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>73.61 ± 0.63***</td>
<td>56.74 ± 2.87</td>
<td>66.19 ± 1.28*</td>
<td>61.20 ± 1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>37.86 ± 0.44***</td>
<td>25.04 ± 1.34</td>
<td>33.16 ± 0.88***</td>
<td>30.88 ± 1.36**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 weeks post MI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>Saline</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>GCSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>256.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>251.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>258.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>267.3 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVS</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV diastolic D</td>
<td>8.95 ± 0.62</td>
<td>9.32 ± 0.21</td>
<td>8.58 ± 0.36</td>
<td>8.47 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV systolic D</td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.34**</td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.22</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.27**</td>
<td>5.93 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDV</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESV</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.07***</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05***</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>74.74 ± 0.39***</td>
<td>52.75 ± 1.40</td>
<td>71.97 ± 1.85***</td>
<td>62.76 ± 2.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>39.11 ± 0.46***</td>
<td>23.91 ± 0.78</td>
<td>36.83 ± 1.50***</td>
<td>30.08 ± 1.66*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Independently of its dual cell mobilizing capacity, SP exerts a systemic anti-inflammatory effect at the early stage of various acute tissue injuries. In our study, the benefits of the anti-inflammatory effect of SP on the progression of wound healing were demonstrated to be much better in comparison with GCSF, since GCSF clearly and substantially prolonged injury-triggered inflammatory responses [34], which would deleteriously affect the wound environment and delay healing. As shown in the IRI rat model, SP could exert its anti-inflammatory role as early as 4.5 h by blunting the IRI-induced increase in TNF-α and increasing IL-10, CD11b+/CD206+ M2-phenotype monocytes, and CD4+/Foxp3+ T reg cell levels in the PB. In contrast, GCSF further extended the duration of the IRI-induced increase in TNF-α up to day 3 post-IRI. In the present study, we did not determine by how much the anti-inflammatory effect of SP benefited tissue repair; however, it was evident that the SP-injected group showed less apoptotic cell death compared with that in the GCSF-injected group on day 1 post-IRI. Based on the kinetics of EPC and BMSC mobilization, which took 3–5 days, and the detection of biotin-SP-bound CD11b+ and CD4+ PBMCs as early as 10 min post-SP injection, the role of SP in the control of inflammation may be mediated through CD11b+/CD206+ M2 macrophages and CD4+/Foxp3+ T reg cells in the blood, rather than through the immune-modulating effect expected from SP-mobilized BMSCs in the circulation. The functional recovery mediated by SP in the IRI model and permanent LAD...
ligation model was consistently better than that achieved by GCSF injection. Thus, the earlier blockade of injury-mediated inflammatory responses caused by SP treatment might create an inflammation-suppressive and anti-apoptotic microenvironment at the injury site, resulting in the reduction of inflammation-induced secondary cell death and fibrosis, thus preparing a favorable microenvironment for the engraftment of the incoming reparative stem cells. Finally, both BMSCs and EPCs mobilized by SP are anticipated to participate in tissue repair as reparative stem cells in a concerted manner.

Conclusion

Our findings demonstrated that the systemic application of SP improved recovery of cardiac function in a rat cardiac injury model.
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